

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/605,898 | 11/05/2003 | Barry Alan Sullivan | | 2897 EXAMINER | |
| 34476 | 7590 11/29/2004 | | EXAM | | |
| BARRY ALAN SULLIVAN 1933 THOMASTOWN ROAD TALLULAH, LA 71282 | | ALIMENTI, SUSAN C | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3644 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004 | DATE MAILED: 11/29/2004 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | _ i | | |
|--|--|--|-----|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| Office Antique Commence | 10/605,898 | SULLIVAN, BARRY ALAN | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Susan C. Alimenti | 3644 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.Ò § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 No | vember 2004. | • | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan | ce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex | x <i>parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner | • | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 November 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the d | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) | -(d) or (f). | - | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau | | d in this National Stage | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | ` ' ' ' | ₽ d . | | | |
| | · | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary | | | | |
| 2) X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) Other: | | | | |

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Specification

1. Content of Specification

- (a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.
- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development</u>: See MPEP § 310.
- Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc: The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, <u>Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix</u>": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (e) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) <u>Field of the Invention</u>: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) <u>Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98</u>: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Art Unit: 3644

- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.
- (g) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (i) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,898 Page 4

Art Unit: 3644

(k) Sequence Listing, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Drawings

- 2. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because those submitted are unclear and confusing, lacking the definition required to render a proper understanding of the structure of the claimed invention. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 3. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference characters not mentioned in the description: reference numeral 1-14. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,898 Page 5

Art Unit: 3644

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-12 are objected to because of the following informalities: The claims should be submitted in a format where each claim begins a new line. As presently submitted, the claims are grouped together in one large paragraph or section, making them difficult to read and interpret.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claim 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification and drawings are incomplete and do not disclose enough detailed information, e.g. images/figures are unclear, no reference characters discussed in specification, no brief description of drawings, etc., in order to allow one having ordinary skill in the art to reconstruct the claimed invention.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8. Claims 1-12 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3644

9. The claims are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure, which goes to make up the device, must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. Regarding claims 5 and 8, the claims must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patents cited.

- 10. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
 - Claim 1 recites the limitation, in line 2, "a through the keel water jet propulsion system," this phrase is awkward and confusing, and renders the claim indefinite.
 - Claims 2, 5, and 11 recite the limitation "the water keel", claims 3, 4, 5, 6 recite the limitation, "the propulsion pump", claims 6, and 7 recite the limitation "the normal draft line", and claim 9 recites the limitation "the proper position". There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claims.
 - Claims 8, 10, and 12 are also rejection as being dependant upon the subject matter of rejected base claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

12. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cicoff et al. (US 6,601,333).

Cicoff et al. (Cicoff hereafter) discloses the claimed swimming wildfowl decoy, as best understood, comprising a decoy body 14, propulsion system 114 located in a keel portion of the decoy body, a weighted anchor line 40 disposed in the front center of the decoy body, and rechargeable batteries 66 and 78 (Cicoff, col.6, lns.1-10). The body is sealed in a watertight manner preventing leakage to the interior of the body (Cicoff, col.4, lns.45-46). A an opening 20 in the top of the decoy body 14 provides access to the interior of the housing for all electrical components. The propulsion system 114 (Cicoff, Figures 9 and 10) provide a means for routing and directing the water through a chamber 188 and into an angular fitting 116, which rotates and directs the water from side to side (Cicoff, col.8, lns.39-65). This direction of water results in side-to-side or back and forth motion that mimics the natural motion created by an actual waterfowl.

Conclusion

- 13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art references made of record but not relied upon in the rejection are included to further show the state of the art with regard to waterfowl decoy devices.
- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan C. Alimenti whose telephone number is 703-306-0360. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm.

Art Unit: 3644

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on 703-305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCA

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 8